

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/822,126	03/30/2001	Hassan A. Serhan	DEP0546	8872	
75	590 01/14/2003		•		
Philip S. Johnson Johnson & Johnson One Johnson & Johnson Plaza			EXAMINER		
			MANAHAN, TODD E		
New Brunswick, NJ 08933			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SV.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/822,126

Applicant(s)

Serhan et al

Examiner

Todd E. Manahan

Art Unit 3732



 -	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period f	or Reply	<i>t</i>
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with	ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oct 2	002
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	ion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-12, 17-65, and 67-80</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims 1-12, 17-65, and 67-80	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved by disapproved by the Examine
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a li	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) [,	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	• •
Attachm		
1) 🔲 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
21 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 Int	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/822,126 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

Response to Amendment

Upon reconsideration of the previously Restriction Requirement, Paper No. 2, mailed 19 September 2002, it appears that there were errors with the grouping of the claims and identified species contained in the instant application. Therefore, the previous restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, 17-30, 36-48, 58-65, 67-80, drawn to the combination of a ligament and a fastener, classified in class 606, subclass 72.
- II. Claims 49-57, drawn to a ligament, classified in class 623, subclass 13.19. (It is noted that in applicant's response filed 24 October 2002, claims 13-16 and 66 were canceled by applicant as being drawn to the non-elected invention of Group II, the ligament. These claims would still be properly grouped as drawn to the invention of Group II if pending).
- III. Claims 31-35, drawn to the combination of the ligament, bone fasteners, and a motion disc, classified in class 623, subclass 17.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship

Application/Control Number: 09/822,126 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require the ligament to have a width of the conforming portion being smaller than that of the central portion (claims 13-16), a central portion having a thickness of 0.5 mm to less than 2 mm (claims 49-57) or a length 1-3 times the height of the artificial disk (claim 66). The subcombination has separate utility by itself such as being fastened using either sutures or adhesive.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require the ligament to have a width of the conforming portion being smaller than that of the central portion (claims 13-16), a central portion having a thickness of 0.5 mm to less than 2 mm (claims 49-57) or a length 1-3 times the height of the artificial disk (claim 66). The subcombination has separate utility by itself such as being fastened using either sutures or adhesive.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,126

Art Unit: 3732

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require the ligament to have a conforming portion or shoulderless fasteners, etc. The subcombination has separate utility by itself such as being used without a motion disc.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Furthermore, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. If applicant elects the invention of Group I, the combination of the ligament and fastener, further election of the following patentably distinct species and subspecies is required:

Species I: figure 2a (claims 1, 2, 5-12, 17, 18, 24, 29, 30, 36-48, 58-63, 67-72);

Species II: figure 2c (claims 1-12, 17, 19-23, 25-28, 30, 40-48, 58-63, 67-76);

Species III: figures 3a-3d (claims 1, 2, 5-12, 1, 30, 36-38, 40-48, 58-62, 65);

Species IV: Figures 4a-4c (claims 17, 40-48, 58-62, 73-76);

Application/Control Number: 09/822,126

Art Unit: 3732

Species V: the combination wherein the fasteners have a ceramic attachment end and a polymer shank (claim 64);

Species VI: combination wherein one fastener is shouldered and the other is shoulderless (claim 77).

Each of the above species also includes the following distinct subspecies:

Subspecies A: ligament having a conformable portion;

Subspecies B: ligament having a bioresorbable;

Subspecies C: ligament and fasteners both bioresorbable;

Subspecies D: ligament wherein the central portion has a first resorption time and the end portions have a second longer resorption time.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and subspecies for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic to all species and subspecies.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Art Unit: 3732

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is (703) 308-2695.

Todd E. Manahan

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3732

T. E. Manahan January 10, 2003